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Problem Set 5: Modeling Temperature Change

**Problem 4**

**Plot 4A:** *Average Daily Temp for Boston on 2/12 (1961-2016)*

|  |
| --- |
| **A screenshot of a cell phone  Description automatically generated** |

**Plot 4B:** *Average Yearly Temp for Boston (1961-2016)*

|  |
| --- |
| **A screenshot of a cell phone  Description automatically generated** |

**4.1** What difference does choosing a specific day to plot the data versus calculating the yearly average have on the goodness of fit of the model? Interpret the results.

|  |
| --- |
| Choosing the yearly average produces a better fit for the plot because there is less variation between the average temperature of a given year than a given day. Furthermore, due to the seasons of Boston, choosing a specific day especially during winter or summer will not produce a good model. |

**4.2** Why do you think these graphs are so noisy?

|  |
| --- |
| These graphs are so noisy due to external and more or less random factors that affect the temperature of a given day. |

**Problem 5**

**Plot 5.A** *Increasing Interval (Los Angeles, length=30)*

|  |
| --- |
| **A screenshot of a cell phone  Description automatically generated** |

**5.1** What was the start and end year for your window? What was the slope?

|  |
| --- |
| Start year: 1961  End year (inclusive): 1990  Slope = 2.971\*10-2 |

**Plot 5.B** *Decreasing Interval (Los Angeles, length=30)*

|  |
| --- |
| **A screenshot of a cell phone  Description automatically generated** |

**5.2** What was the start and end year for your window? What was the slope?

|  |
| --- |
| Start year: 1981  End year (inclusive): 2010  Slope = -1.801\*10-2 |

**5.3** Considering *both* plots, what conclusions might you make with respect to how temperature is changing over time?

|  |
| --- |
| Temperature is rising in general since the slope of the greatest temperature increase interval is greater than that of the slope of the greatest temperature decrease. Also see below:  A screenshot of a cell phone  Description automatically generated |

**Problem 6**

**Plot 6.A** *Training Data, Degree 2*

|  |
| --- |
| **A screenshot of a cell phone  Description automatically generated** |

**Plot 6.B** *Training Data, Degree 15*

|  |
| --- |
| **A screenshot of a cell phone  Description automatically generated** |

**6.1** How do these models compare to each other in terms of R^2 and fitting the data?

|  |
| --- |
| The model for the Degree 2 plot has a lower R2 value than that of the Degree 15 plot. Therefore, the model for Degree 15 better fits the training data. |

**Plot 6.C** *Test Data, Degree 2*

|  |
| --- |
| **A screenshot of a cell phone  Description automatically generated** |

**Plot 6.D** *Test Data, Degree 15*

|  |
| --- |
| **A close up of a map  Description automatically generated** |

**6.4** Which model performed the best? Which model performed the worst? Is this different from the training performance in the previous section? Why?

|  |
| --- |
| The model for Degree 15 performed the best whereas the model for Degree 2 performed the worst. This is not different from the training performance in the previous section because logically if the R2 term is closer to 1 (how closely a model matches the training data), the deviation between a model’s predicted and true values should be lower (closer to 0). Thus it makes sense that if Degree 15 works better for the training data, so too for the testing data. |

**6.5** If we had generated the models using the data from Problem 4B (i.e. the average annual temperature of Boston) instead of the national annual average over the 22 cities, how would the prediction results on the national data have changed?

|  |
| --- |
| The prediction results would have been much lower than expected (as in the temperature would be lower) because Boston is a colder city. |